

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/041,011	01/07/2002	Takeo Oita	1503.66084	2591
75	90 04/23/2003			
Patrick G. Burns, Esq.		EXAMINER		
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD. Suite 2500			SHINGLETON,	, MICHAEL B
300 South Wacker Dr. Chicago, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2817	
		DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	9/	N_
--	----	----

Offic Action Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) 10-041.01 Examiner **Group Art Unit** SHINGLETON

-Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely

term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	oon managed, over it unler, may reduce any earned paterit
Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal ma accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 	itters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 3 O.G. 213.
Dispositi n f Claims	
	illare pending in the application.
Of th above claim(s)	
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
∠ Claim(s) 1-12	ig/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or lection
Applicati n Papers	requirement
\square The proposed drawing correction, filed on is \square a	• •
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the E	Examiner
☐ Th specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Pri rity und r 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119 (a)–(d),
ŞLAII □ Some* □ None of the:	
☼ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in App	lication No
☐ Copi s of the certified copies of the priority documents have been re	
in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a))
*Certified copies not received:	
Attachm nt(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
Notic of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Pat nt Application, PTO-15.
☐. Notice of Draftsperson's Pat nt Drawing R view, PTO-948	□ Other
Office Acti in Summar	24

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Pap r No. -

Application/Control Number: 10/041,011

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The examiner suggests a title that addresses the crystal oscillator as well as the harmonic filter aspects of the invention. The following title is suggested: Pulse producing Crystal oscillator arrangement with harmonic filter.

Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: Clearly, the terminology "closed" as it appears in claim 12 is in error and should be "close". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4-8, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art as represented by Figures 1 and 2 of the instant application (AAPA) in view of Horn "Basic electronics Theory" 4th edition pp 478-487 (Horn) and Benes et al. US 4,817,430 (Benes).

As it relates to independent claim 1, AAPA discloses a synchronous signal generator converting an output, which is a sine wave from a crystal oscillator 1 of an oscillation frequency f into a pulse of a rectangular waveform by a pulse converter 2. AAPA fails to show the output from the crystal oscillator connected to a filter that is equal to the oscillation frequency f in center frequency fO, and where the output of the filter is connected to the input of the pulse converter.

As it relates to independent claim 6, AAPA discloses a synchronous signal generator, having a crystal oscillator unit 1 oscillating an output signal and a pulse conversion unit 2 outputting a pulse of a rectangular wave-form based on output of the crystal oscillator. AAPA, like that above as it relates to claim 1, fails to recite a "filter unit" converting an output signal from the crystal oscillator unit into a signal close to an ideal sine wave, and outputting this converted signal to the input of the pulse converter.

Application/Control Number: 10/041,011

Art Unit: 2817

As it relates to independent claim 11, AAPA discloses a synchronous signal generator, having a crystal oscillator means 1 for oscillating an output signal and a pulse conversion means 2 for outputting a pulse of a rectangular waveform based on output of the crystal oscillator. AAPA, like that above as it relates to claims 1 and 6, fails to recite a "filter means" for converting an output signal from the crystal oscillator means into a signal close to an ideal sine wave, and outputting the converted signal to the input of the pulse converter.

As it relates to independent claim 12, AAPA discloses a synchronous signal generating method obtaining a synchronous signal from the output of crystal oscillator unit 1 oscillating an output signal, having the steps of converting the output of the oscillating unit into a pulse signal of a rectangular waveform via pulse converter 2. AAPA, like that of claims 1, 6, and 11, fails to provide for a means that converts "an output signal from said crystal oscillator unit into a signal closed (sic) to an ideal sine wave" i.e. in light of the specification the converting step is actually a filtering step like that recognized in independent claims 1, 6 and 11.

Horn recognizes that a totally harmonic-free sine wave is quite difficult to achieve (See page 478) and gives examples of sine-wave oscillators including crystal oscillators recited on pages 484-487. Thus Horn recognizes the long-standing problem with oscillators (Note Figure 2 of the instant invention.).

Figure 6 of Benes addresses this long-standing problem with crystal oscillators. Figure 6 of Benes discloses a crystal oscillator composed of at least elements 3 and 8. The normal output $U_{\rm OSC}$ is more or less a square-wave and that "it is advantageous to filter out the 3rd harmonic" (See column 7, lines 39-46). Benes solves this long-standing problem by providing a band-pass filter 32 to filter out the undesired harmonics and produce a signal U_D .

Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a band-pass filter between the oscillator unit and the pulse converter of AAPA so as to filter the crystal oscillator and accordingly produce an ideal sine wave thereby solving the long standing problem of crystal oscillators as taught by Horn and Benes.

As it relates to claim 4, the synchronous signal generator of AAPA has an oscillation frequency f is equal to a frequency of a fundamental wave component output from the crystal oscillator as shown in Figure 2 of the instant application.

As it relates to claim 5, the pulse converter 2 of AAPA has the same reference number as the pulse converter of the instant invention (See Figure 4) and therefore they are identical in structure and must include the "pulse converter is a complementary output driver IC" language of claim 5 for if theses

Application/Control Number: 10/041,011

Art Unit: 2817

pulse converters 2 were not identical they would have different reference numbers. Note MPEP 608.02 that states: "no single reference character is used for two different parts".

As it relates to claim 7, the filter unit made obvious above is a band-pass filter that filters out the harmonic(s) but passes the fundamental so as to produce a more realistic sine wave. Thus the language of claim 7 "filter unit converts the signal such that a level of a specific frequency component in the output signal from said crystal oscillator unit can be relatively higher than levels of other frequency components, and outputs a resultant signal" is clearly an obvious consequence of the invention made obvious above.

As it relates to claim 8, as noted with respect to claim 7 the filter is a band pass filter that filters out the harmonic(s). The harmonics lies outside the center frequency so the centering of the filter to the center frequency of the generator only makes for common engineering sense for that center frequency fl is the desired frequency and a filter passes the desired frequency the best when the filter is centered about that frequency. Alternatively, selecting the center frequency of a filter for a system is merely the selection of the optimum or workable range and as such involves but routine skill in the art the selection of this center frequency to be a the center frequency "fl" of the synchronous signal generator would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Claims 2, 3, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in view of Horn and Benes as applied to claims 1,4-8, 11 and 12 above, and further in view of Inao et al. US 5,382,929 (Inao) and Gibilisco "Handbook of Radio & Wireless Technology" pp195-197.

All the same reasoning as applied in the 35 USC 103 rejection of claim 1, 4-8, 11 and 12 and the following: Claims 2, 3, 9 and 10 in effective recites that the filter unit is a crystal filter. Benes describes that two inductively coupled parallel resonant circuits can make up the filter (See column 7, lines 49 and 50), however, Benes is not limited to just this type of filter. Note that Benes teaches that any band pass filter can be used especially those "known in radio technology" (See column 7, lines 47 and 48). Benes, Horn and AAPA are silent on the filter unit being "a crystal filter equal to the crystal oscillator in frequency-temperature characteristic" (claim 2), a crystal filter "wherein respective crystal pieces used for the crystal oscillator and the crystal filter have an equal cutting angle" (claims 3 and 10 however, note that claim 10 uses a slightly different wording describing the same feature.) and a filter wherein the "filter unit is equal to said crystal oscillator unit in frequency-temperature characteristic" (claim 9).

Inao discloses that one common form of band pass filter used in the radio technology area is the crystal filter (See column 1, lines 5-15).

Art Unit: 2817

As the Benes reference specifically teaches that any conventional radio technology filter can be employed such as a band-pass filter and Inao discloses that the crystal filter is a conventional form of band pass filter used in the radio technology area. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the band pass filter of Inao in place of the generic filter of Benes because, as the reference is silent as to the exact filter circuit, any artrecognized equivalent crystal band pass filter would have been usable such as the well-known conventional band pass filter as taught by Inao. As to the specific features of claims 2, 3, 9 and 10 wherein the crystal filter forming the filter has the same crystal characteristics of the oscillator crystal such as the equal cutting angle, the same frequency-temperature characteristic, these are all selections of the optimum or workable in designing a crystal filter which involves but routine skill in the art. Note Gibilisco clearly recognizes the design criteria known to those of routine skill, specifically that the frequency of the crystal is determined "mainly by the thickness of the crystal and the angle at which it is cut". Since the selection of the thickness, cut angle, etc. are all design criteria that determines the optimum or workable range for a filter which involves routine skill in the art, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the thickness, cut angles etc. to be equal to the characteristics of the crystal of the oscillator so as to provide for a band-pass filter in the obvious combination above centered around the main oscillator frequency as this involves mere routine skill in the art as recognized by Gibilisco.

Page 5

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Batina US 4,741,340 (Batina) discloses an oscillator coupled to a clock to pulse converter 22, 48 as clearly shown in Figure 1 thereof. JP2002217686, EP001223668A2 and US 20020093387 disclose a synchronizing signal generator. Dilworth "The Characteristics of a Class discloses a crystal oscillator (See page 9/4).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael B. Shingleton whose telephone number is 703-308-4903. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 to 4:30. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal, can be reached on (703) 308-4909. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7722.

Application/Control Number: 10/041,011 Page 6

Art Unit: 2817

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

MBS

April 16, 2003

ANCHAELB SHINGLETC PRIMARY EXAMINER

BOUPARTUNIT 1817